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Advancing equality and diversity in universities and colleges
Equality Challenge Unit

Furthers and supports E&D for staff and students in UK HEIs and colleges in Scotland, through:

- Qualitative and quantitative research
- Information, advice and guidance
- Events, conferences, seminars and networks
- Advice line and e-newsletters
- Training, consultancy and bespoke services
- Equality charters – Athena SWAN and Race Equality

Advancing equality and diversity in universities and colleges
Athena SWAN Charter

= Began in **2005** to support the advancement of women in STEMM

= Expanded in **2015** to recognise commitment to gender equality more broadly

= Expanded to include in AHSSBL disciplines and professional and support staff

**STEAM = Science, Technology, Engineering, Maths, Medicine**

**AHSSBL = Arts, Humanities, Social Sciences, Business, Law**
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Athena SWAN award holders

669 award holders

= 96 university awards
  = 83 Bronze, 13 Silver

= 16 research institute awards
  = 13 Bronze, 2 Silver, 1 Gold

= 587 departmental awards
  = 399 Bronze, 178 Silver, 10 Gold

April 2017 round:
  = 143 submissions
  = 85 awards
  = 59% success rate
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Expanded Athena SWAN principles

1. Recognise talents of all
2. Advance gender equality
3. Recognise disciplinary differences
4. Tackle the gender pay gap
5. Remove obstacles
6. Address short-term contracts
7. Tackle discrimination against trans people
8. Demonstrate senior commitment
9. Make structural and cultural changes
10. Consider intersectionality
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Under-representation of men

- May be particularly relevant in certain disciplines and job families

- Addressing underrepresentation of women in senior roles does not preclude you from addressing the underrepresentation of men earlier in the pipeline

★ This is a common contributing factor to applicants being unsuccessful
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Tackling issues at different stages of the “pipeline”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>UG</th>
<th>PGR</th>
<th>Non-Prof</th>
<th>Prof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosciences</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maths</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Data, analysis and action planning
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Athena SWAN award criteria</th>
<th>Bronze</th>
<th>Silver</th>
<th>Gold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A thorough self-assessment using qualitative and quantitative analysis</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify key issues</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actions in place to address key issues and carry the department forward</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates the impact of previous activity</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serves as a beacon in the discipline, sector and beyond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why does Athena SWAN work?

= Athena SWAN framework requires you to:

1. **Collect** data (quantitative and qualitative)
2. Critically **analyse** data
3. **Identify** reasons for exclusion and under-representation
4. Develop a 4 year **action** plan to address these
5. Show **progress** over time

= individualised approach; not a box-ticking exercise

Data ➔ Analysis ➔ Action
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Data analysis to action

- What does this data tell you?
- What gender inequalities does it show?
- What could the department tell you about this data?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BSc [Subject]</th>
<th>2013/14</th>
<th></th>
<th>2014/15</th>
<th></th>
<th>2015/16</th>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications (#)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offers (#)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications to offers (%)</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptances (#)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptances to offers (%)</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptances to applications (%)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Data analysis to action

= Consider the external factors behind your data (what does the national picture look like?)

= Consider the internal factors behind your data (what policies/processes could be contributing to the trends?)

= Where and how could you intervene?
# SMART Action Plan template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Rational</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Success Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Include a <strong>specific</strong> description of the action that will take place. Consider who the action is aimed at and how it will be implemented.</td>
<td>What did you uncover in the self-assessment process that has led you to considering this action? The rationale should be <strong>relevant</strong> and clearly linked to issues in the qualitative and quantitative data.</td>
<td>The action must be <strong>time-bound</strong>. Include clear start and end dates. Avoid too many “ongoing” actions, and consider using milestones to mark progress.</td>
<td>A range of specific roles and people. Ensure action is within the department’s power, making it <strong>achievable</strong>.</td>
<td>Use of targets are encouraged. Is it clear how achievement of actions are <strong>measurable</strong>? Completing the action is not a success measure, what the action is aiming to affect is where measurable targets should come from.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Exercise: Developing actions

Advancing equality and diversity in universities and colleges
Identifying issues

Women are less likely to apply for promotion

- Sporadic encouragement to apply
- Research is the primary driver of success
- Appraisal is rated less helpful by women
- Poor recognition of full breadth of role
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Identifying issues

Women are less likely to be successful for promotion

= Research is the primary driver of success
= Appraisal is rated less helpful by women
= Disagreement that full breadth of role is recognised
= Teaching is praised and encouraged
## SMART action: appraisal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Success Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduce an appraisal checklist, mandating discussion of career</td>
<td>Appraisal is rated as less helpful by female staff [baseline%]</td>
<td>Checklist approved by HoD – April 2018</td>
<td>Julia D. to draft checklist</td>
<td>Appraisal is rated as helpful by &gt;90% of staff, regardless of gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development and actively encouraging promotion applications</td>
<td>Some staff have not been encouraged to apply for promotion</td>
<td>Checklist circulated to line managers and published in staff handbook – May 2018</td>
<td>HoD to approve checklist</td>
<td>Increase in the proportion of women applying for promotion from X% to &gt;Y%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women are less likely to apply for promotion [baseline%]</td>
<td>Checklist used in all appraisal meetings from May 2018</td>
<td>Line managers to use checklist – HoD to be accountable for this</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SMART action: promotion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Success Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clarify promotions criteria re teaching and knowledge exchange pathways</td>
<td>Women are less likely to agree that the full breadth of their role is recognised [baseline%]</td>
<td>Handbook reviewed and updated – Feb 2018</td>
<td>Mark T. to review handbook in collaboration with HR</td>
<td>&gt;90% of staff, regardless of gender, agree that the full breadth of their role is recognised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research is seen as the primary driver for success</td>
<td>Updated handbook circulated to all staff – March 2018</td>
<td>Shivani B. to commission case studies from previous applicants and upload to departmental website</td>
<td>Increase in the proportion of women applying for promotion from X% to &gt;Y%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women are less likely to apply for promotion [baseline%]</td>
<td>Case studies published – March 2018</td>
<td>HoD to deliver promotion workshop</td>
<td>Increase in the proportion of women successful at promotion from X% to &gt;Y%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women are less likely to be successful for promotion [baseline%]</td>
<td>Promotions workshop run in April 2018 and every spring term annually thereafter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progress vs Impact

It’s not about having done your action, but about the **effect** that your activity has had on gender equality and the culture of your department:

= You run an annual promotions workshop, which after a year has 100% staff attendance → **Progress**

= As a result of these workshops, promotion success rate for women has increased → **Impact**
Measuring your impact

- Staff/student numbers
- Roles and influence
- Take-up
- Qualitative data
- Applications
Exercise: Identifying SMARTness
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Next steps
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Next steps

= Read our resources: www.ecu.ac.uk/equality-charters/athena-swan/

= Email the team: AthenaSWAN@ecu.ac.uk

= Become a panellist observer: www.ecu.ac.uk/equality-charters/athena-swan/observe-an-athena-swan-panel/

= Sign up to our JISCMail list: www.jiscmail.ac.uk/athenaswan

= Follow us on Twitter: @Athena_SWAN
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Questions?
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